Towards a Common Java LDAP API

Emmanuel Lecharny Apache Directory elecharny@apache.org

Ludovic Poitou OpenDS Iudovic.poitou@sun.com



A poor man's choice:

JNDI or JLDAP/Netscape LDAP SDK

Good News:

Apache Directory Client API OpenDS Client SDK UnboundID LDAP SDK

Why this resurgence of activity ?

- Servers need to issue outbound connections
- A directory product is wrapped with tools
- Previous effort to agree on standard API stalled

Is the LDAP developers ecosystem that large ?

We just need one good common API

The foundation

- JLDAP/Netscape SDK as template
- Leveraging Java5 language constructions
- Synchronous and Asynchronous methods

Connection Request Response Handling Data

Connection

- LDAPConnection is an interface
- Factory
 - -to hide I/O library
 - -to handle single connection vs pooled connections
- Referral Policies (including Authentication)

Request / Responses

Synchronous vs Asynchronous 3 approaches Just a question of style

Handling data

Entries Attributes Values Names

Entry description

- Base object for the server
- Contains the DN and the Attributes
- Uses ellipsis : entry.add("ObjectClass", "top", "person");
- Constructor or factory ?

Example : JNDI

DirContext ctx = new InitialDirContext(env);

```
Attributes attrs = new BasicAttributes( true ); // case-ignore
Attribute objclass = new BasicAttribute("objectclass");
objclass.add("top");
objclass.add("organizationalUnit");
attrs.put(objclass);
attrs.put( "ou", "fruits" );
```

Context result = ctx.createSubcontext("ou=Fruits", attrs);

Example : New API

```
Entry entry = new EntryImpl(
new DN( "ou=fruits, dc=example, dc=com" ) );
```

```
entry.add( "objectClass", "top", "organizationalUnit" );
entry.add( "ou", "fruits" );
```

```
connection.add( entry );
```

Values description

- Much more an internal representation of attribute data
- Should it be exposed to the client?
- It contains
 - either String (for H/R attributeTypes)
 - Or byte[] (Binary AttributeTypes)
- From the server point of view, everything is a byte[]

LDX-				
Objects		and a	10.0	
-	· · ·			
ili - Mari	· · · ·	N	19.	NESSA DE LA RECORDANIA.
1.00	and specific sectors and se	100 C 10	100.850	19.55
	: •••	- ·	and the second second	
- des	2.4	: . .		
	A CONTRACTOR OF		Decision Berger 102 March	CONTRACTOR STATE
· · · · ·		-		1 -
K :	-		IDK. s	
vere.	UBCCC	1996	De chel	1000
LDAP				
Objects	_			
	200 1972 - 1	0000	100500	
er.		τ.	1.2	G
60.	An one beside Allow			
1997	ing Contraction	- 10 C		nay Marina
	A STATE OF A	teres a	er viene –	_
kan beren di	(edu e	a a	And the second	Self-sec
	an a	1997 - 19 19 19	1.1	an a
		L'		
Line.	A 4		22.2	

Search responses

- Enumeration of Searched Entries
- Should search always try to page (like RDMBs queries) ?
- Should it be additional APIs built on the basic Search request ?

Schema

- Schema is required for handling DNs, Entries, Values...
- Some servers may return non schema compliant data
- Application may not know Server's schema or works with multiple servers with different schema
- API needs to handle all scenarii

Schema

- Default schema must be present
 At least the standard one
- Should be able to extend from file or server
- How to deal with server specific schema elements requiring code (Syntax, MatchingRules) ?

Other aspects

- Security : TLS handled under the hood
- Exceptions
- Extended operations
- Controls
- DSML
 - Reader / Writer / Utilities
- LDIF
 - Reader / Writer / Utilities

Conclusion

- There is NO common API yet.
- There are many aspects to address as a community
- Server vendors have their needs and their proposed solutions
- Application developers should participate and provide input
- It will take time to have that single best of the world common LDAP JAVA API !
- Interested ? Join the effort !